

FINAL MEETING SUMMARY
Terminal 91 Neighbors Advisory Committee
April 18, 2018

Terminal 91

NEIGHBORS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- Magnolia Community Council

- Queen Anne Community Council

- Port of Seattle

c/o Rosie Courtney
Cruise Public Affairs
Port of Seattle
P.O. Box 1209
Seattle, WA 98111
Wk: 206-787-3414
Fax: 206-787-3280
Email: Courtney.R@portseattle.org

ATTENDANCE:

Magnolia Community Council

Fred Rapaport
Bruce Carter
Stephanie MacKeen

Queen Anne Community Council

Denny Bird
Jim Smith
Ellen Monrad
Don Harper

Chair:

Bill Winkelman, 501 Commons

POS:

Rosie Courtney, Public Affairs
Kelli Goodwin, Maritime Operations Manager
Sandra Kilroy, Senior Director, Maritime Environmental
Paul Meyer, Manager, Environmental Services
Skip Himes, Director, Marine Maintenance
Robert Hoyman, Marine Maintenance Project Management Group
Graham VanderSchelden, NWSA

Consultants:

Pam Xander, ESA

Guests: Karen Ko, City of Seattle

The regular meeting of the Terminal 91 Neighbors Advisory Committee (NAC) convened at 4:30 PM on Wednesday, April 18, 2018.

Fred asked that the approval of meeting minutes be moved to the open forum part of the agenda and Bill approved.

Paul Meyer introduced Sandy Kilroy as the new Senior Director, Maritime Environmental. It is Sandy's first day on the job at the Port after spending over 26 years at King County working on wastewater and habitat restoration issues. Bruce mentioned that he worked with her on the CSO project at Smith Cove.

T-91 LIGHTING PROJECT UPDATE

Rosie provided a short history of the new lighting at Terminal 91 and introduced Robert Hoyman to give an update on the project. Robert met with Don Harper for a site visit and they concluded that the light system is working as expected over the terminal. However, the Port is still having trouble with the control system and Robert is looking to replace that system with a different one that has been used successfully on other Port properties. He said the neighbors are experiencing annoyance with the lighting now because the lights stay on even when there is little activity. That will not happen when the new system is installed. He intends to get the costs for the new system in the capital budget, purchase the system, and have the system installed and completed by early 2019.

Discussion continued about the potential use of motion sensors and other potential features of the new control system. Don discussed the positioning of the lights and the shields on some of the fixtures. Stephanie said the neighbors near where Nancy Rogers used to live have the biggest problem with light annoyance. Robert said that 90% of the lights on the T-91 terminal are level and when the controls are in place, the light annoyance should be reduced. Robert and Stephanie are going to schedule a site visit focusing on lighting impacts to that part of the neighborhood.

Discussion continued on LED light sources. Jim doesn't want to look out of his house and see the light source at T-91. Very bright lights do not allow him to see any views from his house. Don suggested to Jim that a good time to check on light disturbance at his residence would be when the trawlers pull out in mid-May. Jim said he moved to his home before the grain terminal was built and there was open water.

The next step is for Rosie and Robert to get funding for the lighting controls into the budget. Robert committed to tweak the lights as he can to accommodate the neighbors and also to work with Stephanie and her neighbors to reduce annoyance.

PUGET SOUND MARITIME AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY RESULTS

Paul Meyer introduced Graham VanderSchelden to present the results of the most recent inventory (see PowerPoint). The inventory started in 2006 and has been published every 5 years. Graham discussed the importance of measuring air emissions to track progress and said they calculate emissions based on activity level modelling. The inventory is conducted by the Puget Sound Maritime Air Forum which consists of 16 different groups. There are specific pollutants that are inventoried. Graham provided graphs charting the changes in emissions and reductions over the years. The reasons for the reductions are due to conversion from bunker fuel, use of ultra-low sulphur diesel (ULSD), fleet turnover and stricter controls, and lower activity levels for locomotives, cargo-handling equipment (CHE), and ocean-going vessels (OVG). Graham concluded by emphasizing the importance of the public/private partnership, stating that air emissions from maritime-related sources have decreased significantly, and stating that there is still work to be done including work with greenhouse gas emissions and disproportionately impacted communities. Question and answer time followed.

MAGNOLIA BRIDGE SDOT ALTERNATIVES

Fred thanked Ellen for inviting him to attend the Queen Anne Council Meeting on April 4th for the SDOT presentation. SDOT stated at the Queen Anne presentation that evaluation was expected to be completed during the Summer.

Fred wanted to clarify his statement from the March 2018 NAC meeting about the North Gate being a sub issue. Fred clarified that he was speaking in reaction to the SDOT plan without having consulted with other NAC members, and as such was speaking only for himself and provided some context. His understanding was that the North Gate NAC issue was predicated on not disturbing the Thorndyke neighborhood. SDOT's proposal raised the idea of disturbing the Thorndyke neighborhood to new levels, dwarfing normal NAC North Gate concerns. He reported that SDOT presented a plan on March 20th to in effect move up to 4 lanes of Magnolia Bridge traffic to the Port's North Gate, emptying most of the transit, trucks, and cars that had been using the Magnolia Bridge onto Thorndyke 24/7.

Fred also reported that a week or so after the March 21 NAC meeting, information about the Magnolia Bridge alternatives planning study was posted on the SDOT website.

Fred provided handouts to the NAC members about project components of the Magnolia Bridge Planning Study for discussion. He said there are no alternatives that show the existing bridge and asked how someone would get to Magnolia if the bridge was down.

Fred said SDOT is saying they cannot afford to replace the bridge but are spending money on road diets to eliminate lanes for vehicles. He said the Port and SDOT are putting out different messages and Magnolia needs to know what is off the table from the Port and what is on the table. He does not expect an answer right now.

Don said he thinks the Port would gain if the bridge was not there. Rosie said she doesn't necessarily agree and would need to check on that because she has never heard anyone from the Port say that. Ellen said she wants to know if the City has the right to condemn Port property. She wants an answer to this. Bruce said the County condemned the Port property for the CSP project.

Fred said he has revisions to the last month meeting minutes. For example, he said at the last meeting that the North Gate was a lesser issue but he meant that in the context of the SDOT proposal for bridge alternatives.

Don said the Port is also in negotiations with the City about Terminal 5 so it is not just Terminal 91 that is being discussed. Don asked about the proposed Route 1 on the handout and said that Wes Ducey from SDOT acted like the City has a right to that route.

Fred said that the concerns of Magnolia are:

- The lack of real alternatives and that there;
- No alternatives for retrofitting the bridge;
- The long-time past negotiations about the bridge retrofit; and

- The potential for pedestrian bike paths to turn into streets.

A discussion of upcoming meetings on the topic followed. It was reported that Janis Traven requested a meeting with SDOT to look at the topic holistically with Sound Transit and other projects rather than looking at different projects in silos. SDOT wants a meeting on the 1st to talk with the community. Rosie said the Port is not proposing transportation improvements but the Port would attend but not sure if they have anything to present. Fred said there is a disconnect with SDOT because they are spending money on road diets but saying there is no money for a bridge replacement. Fred said he can't tell the neighbors what is happening since there is not a clear understanding of what the alternatives are. Don said the numbers set the parameters for finances on the bridge.

Fred said that at the MCC meeting, SDOT said they would take until the end of the year to complete their study. At subsequent meetings, they said it would be complete in August. At the QACC, they said it could be complete by May 1st. SDOT's plan is to go to the Council and Mayor for approval next.

OPEN FORUM

- Fred requested that the approval of the March 2018 meeting minutes be postponed until next month to allow for discussion and clarification. Bill agreed to postpone.
- Ellen announced that the Seattle Center Arena EIS will be out on Monday at 8am. She said the majority of the analysis is on transportation.
- Ellen noted to the Port that the North Downtown Mobility Group proposals have very few freight mobility projects included. The next meeting of the group is April 25th at the Armory (Ellen will out send out a notice about it). Ellen is soliciting ideas from NAC members about what questions to ask
- Ellen said there is a new ST3 update. The stakeholders met last night and there is a more elaborate update of the routes that is available to view at their website.

Action Item	Schedule
1. Two protocols to discuss: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. -How to control the new lights with written standards or protocols on how to manage the controls depending on what is going on in the area. b. -How to control lights from the boats/ships. Can the Port include lighting guidelines to ship captains to minimize lighting impacts to the neighbors? c. -The Port staff will come up with a timeline for the discussion of the protocols. 	Rosie will track the capital budget funding and controls implementation; Stephanie and Robert Hoyman will meet to discuss lighting issues in her

	neighborhood.
2. Rosie will place the following items for topics for future NAC meetings: -Expedia -Trail Updates	Future meetings
3. Rosie will invite a Commissioner to attend a NAC meeting – update on when that may be possible	TBD
4. Upland development master plan update. Jeffrey will provide overall context and show overall plan when it is ready.	TBD
5. MCC wants to know from the Port which SDOT Magnolia Bridge alternatives are off the table for the Port. Ellen wants to know if the City can condemn Port property for their purposes.	Rosie will talk with Port staff and report back at a future meeting.

Adjourn at 6:28 pm.

Upcoming 2018 Meeting Schedule

May 16, 2018	September 19, 2018
June 20, 2018	October 17, 2018
July 18, 2018	November 21, 2018
August 15, 2018	December 19, 2018