RESOLUTION NO. 2589

A RESOLUTION of the Port Commission of the Port of Seattle approving and ratifying settlement of Civil Litigation--State of Washington, et al. v. Chevron Asphalt Company, et al.

WHEREAS, the Port of Seattle Commission (hereinafter "this agency") on April 14, 1970 unanimously agreed to participate in civil antitrust litigation with the State of Washington and all other public agencies similarly participating with the State of Washington, and said litigation was filed against various manufacturers of road asphalt; and

WHEREAS, said agreement to participate provided that final approval and ratification of any settlement of the litigation was and remained the pre-rogative of this agency; and

WHEREAS, the State of Washington and this agency, pursuant to the aforementioned resolution, retained the law firm of Ferguson & Burdell as special counsel to prosecute the litigation in their behalf; and

WHEREAS, said special counsel has reached a tentative agreement of compromise and settlement with the defendants, which agreement has been approved by the State of Washington; and

WHEREAS, said special counsel has recommended the agreement to this agency as being in its best interests;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- 1. That said agreement of settlement and compromise (Exhibit "A") is in the best interest of this agency and is adopted, confirmed and ratified; and
- That said special counsel are authorized and directed to take such further steps as they deem necessary and advisable, including signing the necessary releases and covenants (Exhibit "B"), to finalize and terminate the litigation; and
- 3. That this agency accepts as settlement in full of all claims made in or arising out of said litigation the amount set forth in the attached schedule (Exhibit "C") and waives any claim it may now have or hereafter discover, arising out of clerical error or inadvertence in calculation, against each other participant in this litigation and said special counsel.

ommissioners

	ADOPTED by	the Port	Commis	sion of	the Port	of Seat	ttle this	22nd
day of	July	1975, an	d duly	authenti	cated in	open se	ession by	the
signatures	of the Commi	ssioners	voting	in favor	thereof	and the	Seal of	the
Commission	duly affixed	•						

(SEAL)

EXHIBIT "A"

RELEASE AND COVENANT

THIS RELEASE is entered into this 1st day of April, 1975, at Scattle, Washington, by the Plaintiffs and Intervenors in the Washington unit of the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases listed on Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B" hereto;

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the State of Washington and the Counties of Skamania and Snohomish, both of Washington, filed suit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington against various asphalt manufacturers, distributors, shippers and suppliers; and

WHEREAS, thereafter certain additional counties, cities and other public bodies intervened or filed Complaints in intervention in said action pursuant to a procedure authorized and ordered by the Court; and

WHEREAS, from time to time certain of the intervening public bodies have caused or permitted the action to be dismissed as to them with prejudice; and

WHEREAS, the Multidistrict Litigation Panel caused said action to be transferred to the Northern District of California for coordinated and consolidated pre-trial proceedings; and

WHEREAS, said action is among the civil antitrust suits consolidated in the United States District Court,

Northern District of California, Master File No. 50173

R.E.S., as part of the <u>Nestern Liquid Asphalt Cases</u>, and said action being assigned to the Honorable Russell E.

Smith, United States District Judge, for pretrial proceedings; and

WHEREAS, the Plaintiffs and Intervenors in the Washington unit of the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases include the State of Washington and various cities, counties and other governmental bodies and subdivisions in the State of Washington, a list of all said Plaintiffs and Intervenors being attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "B" (collectively the "Washington Plaintiffs"); and

WHEREAS, the complaints filed in the United States
District Court, Western District of Washington, in the
Washington unit allege violations of the antitrust laws by
Douglas Oil Company of California, Chevron Asphalt Company,
Standard Oil Company of California, Shell Oil Company and
Union Oil Company of California (collectively the "named
defendants"), and by various unnamed persons, corporations
and other entities alleged to have been acting in concert
with the named defendants; and

Whereas, each of the named defendants in the Washington unit, and each of the defendants in the other units of the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases has denied that it has committed any violations of the antitrust laws, or any other wrongful act in connection with the manufacture, distribution, shipment or marketing of asphalt; and

WHEREAS, in view of the substantial and serious disputes which exist between the Washington Plaintiffs, on the one hand, and the named defendants, on the other hand, with respect to issues of disputed fact and with respect to the interpretation and application of the antitrust laws,

and in view of the cost of litigating these issues of fact and law in a complex and protracted trial, it is the desire of the Washington Plaintiffs and the named Defendants, in the interest of compromise, to settle and discharge the claims of the Washington Plaintiffs, which settlement and discharge are to include all antitrust and other overcharge claims against said named Defendants which have been or which could have been raised in the aforesaid civil antitrust action at any time, to and including the date of this release, or which relate in any way to antitrust violation or overcharge claims arising out of the manufacture, distribution, shipment or marketing of asphalt at any time to and including the date of this release;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the payment of a valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are hereby acknowledged:

1. The Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, hereby release and forever discharge, separately and collectively, (a) the named Defendants, (b) the parent corporations, if any, of each of the named Defendants, (c) the stockholders of each of the named Defendants, (d) the past and present officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, representatives, and attorneys of each of the named Defendants, and (e) all persons, corporations, partnerships, joint ventures and other legal entities acting with, by, through or under them, or any of them (collectively the "Releasees"), and each of them, of and from any and all claims, causes of action, suits, debts, liens, contracts, obligations, agreements, promises, liabilities, demands, damages, losses, costs or expenses of any nature whatsoever,

known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively the "Claims"), which any of the Washington Plaintiffs may now have, or may hereafter have, against the Releasees, or any of them, by reason of any matter, cause or thing whatsoever from the beginning of time to the date hereof arising out of, based upon, or relating to (i) the civil antitrust action now consolidated as the Washington unit of the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases, (ii) any antitrust violations or overcharges arising out of the manufacture, distribution, shipment or marketing of asphalt, that were, or have been, or could in any way have been, by supplemental or amended complaint or otherwise, alleged in the respective pleadings or other papers filed in said civil action, and (iii) any other antitrust violations or overcharge claims arising out of the manufacture, distribution, shipment or marketing of asphalt at any time to and including the date hereof.

- 2. In addition to the release of the Claims described in paragraph 1 above, the Washington plaintiffs, individually and collectively, covenant not to sue or to sue further the Releasees with respect to any of the claims covered by this Release and Covenant by way of complaint, cross-complaint, counterclaim, affirmative defense, setoff or recoupment in any other proceeding, or action, to which any of said Washington Plaintiffs is or may be a party, or a participant by intervention or otherwise.
- 3. Each of the Washington Plaintiffs acknowledges that it may have sustained damages, expenses or

~4 ~

losses arising out of antitrust or overcharge claims in respect of the Releasees' manufacture, distribution, shipment or marketing of asphalt, the claims for which are presently unknown or unsuspected, and that such damages, expenses or losses may give rise to additional antitrust or overcharge claims for damages, expenses or losses in the future, arising out of its past acquisitions of asphalt, which are not now anticipated by it. Nevertheless, each Washington Plaintiff acknowledges that this release has been negotiated and agreed upon in the light of this realization and, being fully aware of this situation, hereby expressly waives any rights that it may have, in such unknown or unsuspected antitrust or overcharge claims with respect to its past acquisitions of asphalt, under any state or federal statute or common law principle. However, it is not the intent of the Washington Plaintiffs to waive any unknown or unsuspected claims, except the antitrust or overcharge claims referenced in this paragraph 3, arising out of any normal contractual relationships between any of the Washington Plaintiffs and any of the Defendants.

4. The Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, represent and warrant that they have not made or caused any assignment, sale, or other transfer or disposition of any interest in any of the claims released hereby against the Releasees, or any of them, and the Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, agree to indemnify and hold harmless Releasees, and each of them, from and against any liabilities, demands, damages, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees

incurred by Releasees, or any of them, as the result of the assertion of any rights or claims under or by virtue of any such assignment, cale, or other transfer or disposition of any interest in any of said claims, providing, however, that as a condition precedent to any assertion of this agreement to indemnify and hold harmless, the affected Releasee shall first have tendered to the Washington Plaintiffs the defense against any such asserted assignment, sale or other transfer or disposition of any interest in said claims.

Without limiting the generality of the above 5. Paragraph 4, the Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, represent and warrant that they have not in any way caused any Claim described in Paragraph 1, above, relating to any transaction identified in any of their responses to defendants' Interrogatories 21, 22 and 23, in the Washington unit of cases, to be transferred in any way to the United States or any department or agency thereof. In the event that any demand, claim, right or action based upon any transaction identified in said Interrogatory responses is asserted against the Releasess, or any of them, by the United States or any department or agency thereof, the Washington Plaintiffs agree that if any Releasees shall tendor the defense of said demand, claim, right or action to the Washington Plaintiffs, said tender will be accepted as to that Releasee and said Released indemnified and held hamaless therefrom. Failing acceptance of said tender by the Washington Plaintiffs, said Plaintiffs agree to indemnify and hold harmless each Releasee whose tender is not accepted.

- The Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, б. will indemnify and hold harmless the Releasees, and each of them, from and against any and all claims asserted against any said Releasee as a result of, or in connection with, any action or other proceeding brought by or prosecuted with its or their consent for the benefit of the Washington Plaintiffs, or any of them, contrary to the provisions of this In the event that an action is brought without the consent of any of the Washington Plaintiffs, but for their benefit, each such Washington Plaintiff agrees to assert its lack of consent in such action and to assert this Release as (a) a full and complete defense to such action, (b) as the basis for an abatement of or an injunction against said action or other proceedings, and (c) as the basis of a counterclaim or cross-complaint for damages against the person or entity causing said action or other proceeding to Each Washington plaintiff further agrees that be commenced. in the event such an action or other proceeding is commenced, without its consent, it will indemnify and hold harmless the Releasee(s) herein to the full extent of any settlement or award of judgment made against said Releasee(s) for the benefit of any Washington Plaintiff.
- 7. In the event of any breach of this Release and Covenant by any Washington Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, the party aggrieved thereby shall be entitled to a recovery against said breaching Washington Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, and each of them, not only the amount of any judgment which may be awarded against said party, but also all such other

damages, costs and expenses as may be incurred by said party, including court costs, attorneys! fees and all other costs and expenses, vaxable or otherwise, in preparing the defense of, defending against, or seeking or obtaining an abatement of or injunction against, such action or proceedings, in establishing or maintaining the applicability or validity of this Release and Covenant or any provisions thereof, and in prosecuting any counterclaim or cross-complaint based thereon.

- The enforcibility of the indemnity and hold harmless provisions set forth in this Release and Covenant does not require or depend upon payment by the party aggrieved of any claim, demand, or obligation covered thereby, as a condition precedent to the assertion of said party's rights under said indemnity and hold harmless provisions against the Washington Plaintiffs, or any of them. Enforcibility depends upon a prior tender to the Washington Plaintiffs, by the party aggrieved or potentially aggrieved, of the defense against any such claim, demand, or obligation, and upon the rejection of the tender. Should such tender be accepted, and the defense nevertheless unsuccessful, the Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, to the extent not expressly herein otherwise provided, agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the party aggrieved by such claim, demand or obligation to the extent that said claim, demand or obligation arises out of any claim which is the subject of this Release and Covenant.
 - 9. This Release and Covenant not to sue or

further sue (a) shall bind and be enforceable against all successors and assigns of the Washington Plaintiffs, and each of them, and (b) shall inure to the benefit of (i) all successors and assigns of the corporate Releasees, (ii) all successors and assigns of any partnerships, limited partnerships, joint ventures, and other legal entities past or present, in which any of the Releasees shall be or have been a participant, and (iii) all successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the individual Releasees, and each of them.

- any fact with respect to any matter covered by this Release is found hereafter to be other than, or different than, the facts now believed by said Washington Plaintiff to be true, said Washington Plaintiff expressly accepts and assumes the risk of such possible differences in facts and agrees that this Release and Covenant shall be, and remain, in effect notwithstanding such difference in facts.
- counsel with respect to this Release, and all matters covered by it; each Washington Plaintiff has been fully advised by said counsel with respect to the execution of this Release, and each Washington Plaintiff hereby authorizes and directs its respective counsel of record to take such action as may be necessary, or appropriate, to dismiss with prejudice the antitrust action now pending as the Washington unit of the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases, in which it is a

plaintiff or intervenor, or in which it asserts any claim.

- Neither the payment of any sum of money as consideration for the execution of this Release, nor the request for this Release, shall constitute or be construed as an adminsion of any liability whatsoever by, or on behalf of, Chevron Asphalt Company, Standard Oil Company of California, Douglas Oil Company of California, Shell Oil Company, or Union Oil Company of California, or any of the other Releasees, which have consistently taken the position that they have no liability whatsoever to the Plaintiffs, or any of them, in the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases. No representative of any Washington Plaintiff will make any statement intended to be made public which will claim that this Release, or the sum paid in connection therewith, indicates any violation of the antitrust laws or other wrongful act on the part of any of the Releasecs. This Release is without prejudice to any position that any of the Releasees has asserted, or may assert, in the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases, or in any other proceeding.
- 13. This document embodies the entire terms and conditions of the Release described herein. All words, phrases, sentences and paragraphs, including the recitals hereto, are material to the execution hereof. All executed copies are deplicate originals, equally admissible in cvidence.
- 14. This Release shall be construed and enforced pursuant to the laws of the State of Washington.
 - 15. This Release may be (i) signed in counter-

part, or (ii) signed as authorized by resolution or ordinance incorporating this document, but shall not become effective until signed by or in behalf of each of the Washington Plaintiffs.

Dated: April 1, 1975.

SLADE GORTON, Attorney General, State of Washington

As attorney for the State of Washington and the Appendix "A" Intervenors and as authorized by each of them as indicated in the attached resolutions.

SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & CROWLEY

By

As attorney for Appendix "B" Intervenors and as authorized by each of them as indicated in the attached resolutions.

EXHIBIT Λ

State of Washington Benton County Chelan County Franklin County Grays Harbor County Kitsap County Lewis County Pacific County San Juan County Skagit County Skamania County Snohomish County Stevens County Thurston County Walla Walla County City of Kennewick City of Port Angeles Port of Seattle City of Puyallup City of Richland City of Tacoma City of Yakima City of Seattle King County

Lake Washington School District No. 414

Andrew Marketter of the Control of t

EXHIBIT "B"

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAE

WITE PREJUDICE AND ORDER

It is hereby stipulated by and among the Plaintiffs and Intervenors in the Washington unit of cases in the Western Liquid Asphalt Cases and the Defendants in said action, acting through their respective counsel, that said action shall be dismissed with prejudice as to Defendants Chevron Asphalt Company, Standard Uil Company of California, Douglas Oil Company of California, Shell Oil Company, and

roughus off company of cuffic	rina, bileta Ott Company, and
Union Oil Company of Californ	ia, each party to bear its own
costs.	•
Dated thisd	lay of, 1975.
DEFENDANTS	STATE OF WASHINGTON AND PARTICI- PANTS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A
STANDARD OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA AND CHEVRON	
ASPHALT COMPANY	SLADE GORTON, Attorney General, State of Washington
Ву	
Richard J. MacLaury, Esq. Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro 225 Bush Street	Ву
	Ferguson & Burdell, Special Assistants Attorney General
SHELL OIL COMPANY	•
-	COUNTIES OF GRANT, ISLAND,
By Charles I' Manada. The Flore	SPOKANE AND WAHKLAKUM, and
Graham B. Moody, Jr., Esq. McCutchen, Doyle, Brown	CITI OF EVERENT
& Encreen	By
601 California Street	SIDERIUS, LONERGAN & CROWLEY
San Francisco, CA 94108	Logan Building Seattle, WA 98101
UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORN	AI
By	
Richard S. Haas, Esq.	
Brobeck, Phloger & Harrison	

111 Sutter Street San Francisco, CA 14014

DOUCLAS OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA

Max Gillam, Esq.
Latham & Watkins
555 So. Flower Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to the stipulation by and among the Plaintiffs and Intervenors and the Defendants in the above-captioned action, as set forth above, that said action be, and the same hereby is, dismissed with prejudice as to Defendants Chevron Asphalt Company, Standard Oil Company of California, Douglas Oil Company of California, Shell Oil Company, and Union Oil Company of California.

Dated t	his	đ	lay	of	•	19	75	;

EXHIBIT B

Island County
City of Everatt
Spokane County
Wahkiakum County
Grant County

EXHIBIT "C"

SCHEDULE B

Distribution of Proceeds

I Gross Proceeds of Litigation

Settlement Amount, All Washington Cases Less: Share Owned by Non-Participants	\$3,832,800.00 301,871.32
Amount Placed in Escrow	\$3,530,928.68
Plus: Other "Income" Items	
(a) Interest on Escrow (90 days at 6.05%)	53,405.00
(b) Interest on Redeposit (30 days at 5.375%)	16,054.83
Subtotal	\$3,600,388.81
(c) Costs Reimbursed to Participants	
i) By Non-Participants	9,580.22
ii) By King County	5,363.84
(d) Due from "Mucarac" for Overpayment of Pro-rata	
Share of Common Costs	6,170.35
Subtotal	\$3,621.503.22
Less: Amount Payable to King County	•
(Settlement Amount Separately	
Negotiated But Included in Escrow)	
(e) Principal \$167,000.00	
(f) Interest on Share of Escrow .	
(90 days at 6.05%) 2,525.88	
(g) Interest on Share of Redeposit	
(30 days at 5.375%) 759.34	170,285.22
Gross Proceeds Upon Termination	\$3,451,218.00
Less: "Mucarac" Reserve	10,000.00
Total	\$3,441.218.00

EXHIBIT "C"

II "Net Recovery" and Fee Computations

Total Participants Out-Of-Pocket Costs:

Costs Reimbursed, Trust Account to		\$	40,399.89
Paid "Mucarac" for Common Expenses (a) Paid by Trust Account (b) Less Reimbursement Due for	\$40,366.24		
Overpayment Overpayment	6,170.35		34,195.89
Paid to NERA			2,324.71
Paid to Chevron Asphalt			1,392.39
Due Ferguson & Burdell	•		
(c) Paid Out	\$98,074.54		
(d) Reimbursed by Alaska	31,499.45		
(e) Reimbursed by Trust Account	40,399.89	-	26,175.20
Subtotal		:	104,488.08
Less Reimbursements of Costs			
(f) Non-Participants	\$9,580.22		
(g) King County	5,363.84	-	14,944.06
Net Out-Of-Pocket			
Costs to Particip	:	\$89,544.02	
* *	* * *		
Net Proceeds Upon Termination		\$3,	441,218.00
Less Net Out-Of-Pocket Costs		89,544.02	
"Net Recovery"	\$3,	351,673.98	
Fee to Ferguson & Burdell			
(25% of "Net Recovery")	\$	837,918.49	

EXHIBIT "C"

III Net Proceeds for Distribution

Gross Proceeds Upon Termination Less "Mucarac" Reserve	\$3,451,218.00 10,000.00
Subtotal	\$3,441,218.00
Less Amount Payable to Ferguson	& Burdell
(a) Fee	\$837,918.49
(b) Costs Unpaid Upon Terminat:	on <u>26,175.20</u> <u>864,093.69</u>
Subtotal	\$2,577,124.31
Less Repayment of Costs Advanced	101,500.00
Less Costs Incurred	
(c) Participants Share	\$89,544.02
(d) Non-Participants Share	14,944.06 104,488.08
Subtotal	\$2,371,136.23
Plus Adjustments	
(e) For Return of Costs Advance	d \$101,500.00
(f) For Participants' Costs	
Redistribution	89,544.02
(g) For Trust Account Balance	<u>17,016.77</u> <u>208,060.79</u>
Net Proceeds f	or
Distribution	\$2,579,197.02
	•
•	ry of Disbursement f Funds
_	
To Plaintiffs	\$2,579,197.02
To King County	170,285.22
To Ferguson & Burdell	864,093.69
To Mucarac Reserve	10,000.00
From Trust Account	
From Mucarac	[17,016.77]
Prom Macarac	[6,170.35]
Total Amount R	eceivable From

Escrow Account

\$3,600,308.81

								•					<u>.</u>
	<u>_</u> _ 7 € 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 4	1 1 2 3 5 5 T	4 " 11" "	* * * * **	•	•	•	. •	ic .	. 4	A 12	13	
	PARTICIPANTS	e de accesar deservación en la companyación de la c					PRO-RATA	DISTRIBUTION.	. COSTS	PARTICIPALTS	PARTICIPANTS	NET .	
		DIRECT	. INDIRECT	INPLACE .	"CI. R.D."	TOTAL .	ARENG ALL	SHARL OF	ADVANCED	PRO-RATA COSTS	PRO-IDMA	Distrigurien.	-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 . 1 -	1 1 1 1				2.582, 1 <i>85.10</i>		. 70	COSTS	PROUNT	
•					1 1 -		:	, 1					
•	STATE OF WASHINGTON	3797 056.61	1046932.79 2	\$3336Y7.27		33.777436.67.	. 70.2521	1814039.26	5675000	71.3561	43895.12	1.500894.14	•
;	BENTON COUNTY	238 E 14. FA		334384.07	68,777.38	741975.47	. 1. 5711	. 40568.71	2500.00	1.5958	1 1725.98	41679.77	
ι	CHILAN COUNTY	_ 462 417.86	1	25741.46	10627.46	498778,78	1.0541	27270.46	. 250c.00	1.0727	. 960.54	. 25869.92	
•	FRANKUN CLUNTY.	336594,50	4	42541 65_	197.820.81	576977.33		; 31546.56.	2500.00	1	111115	. 32925,41	
5	GRAYS HARBER COUNTY	118720,70	74432.30		7,543.48	202746.68	4293	11085.32		4341	290.55	18 35 95 2	
1	HITSOR COUNTY	. 767756.67	146167.19	1954.10	36 493.76	946511.72	2.0048	51767.55	3500.00	2.0365	: . /523.33	52414.27	,
•	LEI-IS COUNTY	227479,04	. 22217.51	20261.51	: 	830034.48	1.7576	45354.49	5400.00	1.7552	15-254	40785.55	•
•	FACIFIC COURTY	335323.41				33 < 323 41	.7100	1833351	2500.00	. 72.12	61577		
•	SAN SUAU CAUNTY	109785.1.			12776.91	122 462.54	2575	676977					
٠:	SKECIT COUNTY			972607,54	. 4877.42	977487.62	2.0697	53446.01	5000.00		1882.58		
1*	SHAMANY COUNTY	200552.93			14499.22	27003×,43	5718		2500.00		550,67	16744.86	
13	ENUNCHIEN COUNTY	. 2323507,28	17034.08	18672.53	29719.71	2359 933.20	5.0595	130619.95		5.1379	4450.65	12601915	
:4	STEVENS COUNTY .	435 535.42	. 2416139	10157.40	62480.86	705558,67	1.5004	35743.11	2500.00		1364.5	358-346	
.,	THURSTON SCUNTY	* *		i	, .		1,5336	35471,25		1.55%	1390.26		
:4	WALLAUAN COUNTY .	503034.94		. 11.300.14	195732.19	777 215.05	1.6457	4247502	. 2500.00		1496.52		
•;	CITY OF RENNEWICK	26616.56		27.662.93	256000,96		. 6570	1600496	1500.00			1384750	
: (CITY OF FORT ANGUES			25872.99		25772.99	. 0541	137696	1500.00	1	49.25	•	
14	CITY OF PUYALLUT		•	104153,60		122735.76	. 2599		1500.00		230,50	797676	•
78	CITY OF PICHLAND	770.00	•	51727,85		59326.44	. 1256		20 og.33	•	114.56	57. 70. 57.2 9.70	
21	CITY OF SEPTILE	415436.55	36364168		2150.80	7818=2.84	1.6555	. 9278847 9278867	2500.00		1505.69	्राच्या । इ.स.च्या १ स्टब्स्ट १ स्टब्स्ट	
2)	LAY OF TRUMA	331296.86	681131.13		9 455.74	1022497.73	2.1651	5596659	25/0,00		136916	5442773	
;)	CITY OF VARIETA	1 75772,47	92843.42	39611560	6819,94		9774	e35.23%.28	2000,00		833.96	26344.28	
24	FERT OF SERTLE		1	431281.67		431 281, 47	.9/32	- A3550.51	1000.00		230.41		
::	MORPHENORE SCHOOL DISTA	i	3794.44			3.75 4. 44	1330	207.76		,0081	7,54		
25			and a J. P. T.			2.73.73.4.4.6.		407,76	- 	,	/137	201.52	
*1	BENUX MATS	1 4 4				:	•	•	250,00			- 3 56.00	
•		. , ,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	•		•		•	
•				1 1 1			• .		; ;	• •		•	
	NON- PORTICIPANTS		, <u>,</u> , , ,	1					1		Veu-Pertiupax	5 , , .	
.,	in the second control of the second control		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					40.000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	e com a series of the	• • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	GRENT COUNTY				222674.66	232674.86	.4715	/2/75.00				• • • • •	
3,	ISLAND ICUATY				532486	5324.80	0113	291.79	1				
	SPONALL COUNTY	* ;	1		211421.18	211 427.18	: 4477	11560.44					
	WANKIAKUM COUNTY		ł	1	9667.97	9607.97	. 0263	5-4,13	:		9580.22	14571.15	
				ا مسامد، فِلَمْ بِمَا فِي مَا مَا مُ					1 1	_.			
	KING COUNTY	1			# # 1 6 1 - 52 	2816/1,52	.5463	15397.57			5363.84	10033.73	i
						. ;	:	:					
						1 1 1							1.
• 7						V******				• •			-
4 "	e de la companya de			1		Yizzesii.86	:	2582185.10	10/500.00		11.6625.48	#37918762	
			t t.	1 1 1 1 1 1				-		·	4. 4		